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# The Crystal Structure of $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}{ }^{*}$ 

By H. Steinfink<br>Department of Chemical Engineering, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, U.S.A.<br>and G. D. Brunton<br>Reactor Chemistry Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A.

(Received 18 July 1967)
$\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ crystallizes in space group Pnma with $a_{0}=4.828, b_{0}=6.004, c_{0}=12.794 \AA$. The X-ray density is $3.55 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ and $Z=4$. Nine positional parameters and 16 anisotropic temperature factors were determined from reflections measured on a Norelco PAILRED automatic crystal data collector and a General Electric single-crystal orienter. The parameters were refined by least squares to an $R$ of 0.067 for 267 reflections $>\sigma$. Each $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ion is surrounded by $8 \mathrm{~F}^{-}$nearest neighbors with bond distances of 2.96 to $3.40 \AA$. The $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$ ions have four nearest neighbor $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions at the corners of a tetrahedron. The $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}-\mathrm{F}^{-}$distances are 1.50 to $1.62 \AA$. The structure of this compound is similar to that of the high temperature form of $\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}$. The repulsion of the doubly charged $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$ ions increases the $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}$ distances where the $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions are shared between two tetrahedra. This accounts for the unusually long ( $1.62 \AA$ ) $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}-\mathrm{F}^{-}$distances.

## Introduction

Liebau (1960) among others has shown that in the case of silicates of the formula $\mathrm{MSiO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}=$ cations of small or medium size) the type of structure depends upon the size of $M$. The same statement applies to corresponding silicates with large cations ( $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Sr}$ ) as well as to alkali germanates $\mathrm{MGeO}_{3}$, fluoroberyllates $\mathrm{MBeF}_{3}$, phosphates $\mathrm{MPO}_{3}$, arsenates $\mathrm{MAsO}_{3}$, arsenatophosphates $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{As}) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and vanadates $\mathrm{MVO}_{3}$. Liebau points out that there are two modifications of $\mathrm{BaSiO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}$ and that the low temperature forms appear to have the same structure as pseudowollastonite (the high temperature form of $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ ) while the high temperature phases together with $\mathrm{KBeF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{BeF}_{3}$ form a group with a new structure type. The structure of $\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}$ (high temperature) $\left[\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}(\mathrm{~h})\right.$, Liebau (1960)] has since been determined by Hilmer (1962).

[^0]The intermediate compound $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ was included by Liebau in the group with $\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}(\mathrm{~h})$. However, there are three structural modifications of $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ (Breusov, Novoselova \& Simanov, 1958); $\alpha-\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ $>360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}>\beta-\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}>140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}>\gamma-\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$. Mil'kova \& Porai-Koshits (1962) made a preliminary X -ray study of $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ (phase unknown) as well as $\mathrm{RbBeF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{BeF}_{3}$ prepared from aqueous solution. They give the lattice parameters for $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ as $a_{0}=6 \cdot 09, b_{0}=4 \cdot 81$, $c_{0}=12.88 \AA$, and the diffraction symmetry consistent with space groups $B m m b, B m 2 b$ or $B 2 m b$. The space group determined from our data is none of these, but is Pnma. The space group for $\mathrm{RbBeF}_{3}$ was unambiguously $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$; the space group for $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{BeF}_{3}$ was $P 2_{1} / n$, and they conclude that the three compounds are not isostructural. Mil'kova \& Porai-Koshits present structures for $\mathrm{RbBeF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{BeF}_{3}$ and suggest that 'in particular, it appears certain that the fluoroberyllate chain in the Cs salt must also be oriented along the short $4.81 \AA c$ axis'. The germanium oxide chain in $\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}$ (Hilmer, 1962) is oriented along the short $a$
axis. Our results show the same orientation for the fluoroberyllate chain.

Thus, there is a clear need for detailed structural information about the three phases of $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$.

## Experimental

A single crystal of $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ was selected from an anhydrous mixture of $\mathrm{CsF}-\mathrm{BeF}_{3} \quad 50-50 \mathrm{~mole} \%$ which was quenched (Friedman, Hebert \& Thoma, 1962) after being heated in the range $317-392^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 days. The $\mathrm{CsF}-\mathrm{BeF}_{3}$ mixture was pretreated with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HF}$ and heated to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry helium atmosphere to remove moisture. The crystal is thought to be the $\beta$ form because of the temperature at which it formed, but there is no convincing evidence that it is not $\gamma-\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$. There is a pronounced thermal break at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and most of the $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ quenched from above this temperature has been polycrystalline. The crystals have very low birefringence, with an average index of refraction of $1 \cdot 380$.

The first single crystal was a rectangular parallelepiped (optically positive with positive elongation) with dimensions $0.075 \times 0.110 \times 0.225 \mathrm{~mm}$ which were parallel to the $c, b$, and $a$ axes respectively. The second single crystal was similar to the first with dimensions $0.108 \times 0.162 \times 0.63 \mathrm{~mm}$. The crystals were mounted parallel to the long axis ( $a$ axis) and Weissenberg and precession X-ray diffraction photographs were consistent with the diffraction symbol $m m m P n-a$. The single crystals are hygroscopic and soft. They bend very easily and as a result the diffraction maxima are broad and diffuse, which probably accounts for the rather poor statistics. The attempted solution of the structure in the centrosymmetric and acentric space groups showed that Pnma was probably correct. The parameters for the unit cell were refined by a least-squares fitting of 17 reflections obtained on PAILRED with copper $K \alpha$ radiation, $(\lambda=1 \cdot 54180 \AA)$ and the parameters are $a=4.828 \pm 0.002, b=6.004 \pm 0.002, c=12.794$ $\pm 0.003 \AA$. The calculated density for four formula weights in the unit cell is $3.55 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$.

Three-dimensional data to $\sin \theta / \lambda=0.704$ were collected with a Phillips automatic single crystal diffractometer, PAILRED, using monochromatized Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. After the data had been collected and the structure determined ( $R=0 \cdot 15$ ), we discovered that the scintillometer crystal had become unsealed, had adsorbed moisture and had disintegrated during the data collection. The first crystal was accidentally lost in transfer and the second crystal was mounted on a General Electric single-crystal orienter to obtain 279 additional three-dimensional data. The 279 reflections represent the low angle reflections plus their ' $n$ ' orders to $75^{\circ} 2 \theta$ (Mo $K \alpha-\mathrm{Zr}$ filter). The final parameters and statistics are based upon the reflections from the second crystal.

The reflection data were corrected for the Lorentz polarization factors and for absorption and were re-
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duced to structure factors, $F_{0}$. For the absorption correction the crystal shape was described by six faces appropriate for a rectangular parallelepiped of the dimensions given above (Wehe, Busing \& Levy, 1962). The empirical equation

$$
\sigma^{2}(F)=\stackrel{F^{2}}{4(T-B)^{2}}\left[T+B+0 \cdot 0009(T-B)^{2}\right]
$$

was used to estimate the variance for each structure factor where $T=$ total count, $B=$ background count, and the additive term involving $T-B$ is to allow for errors proportional to the net count, such as variation in the beam intensity and absorption errors.

## Structure determination

Three-dimensional Patterson sections gave the positions of the cesium and the two crystallographically independent fluoride ions [Cs and $F(2)$ at sites $4(c)$ and $F(1)$ at $8(d)]$, and these positions were used to calculate a set of phases from which the three-dimensional electron density map was computed. The Fourier map showed that the structure was correct, but the beryllium atoms could not be located from it. Cs' and two
$F^{-}$ions were used in a least-squares refinement and the resultant signs used to calculate a three-dimensional difference map which clearly showed the beryllium ions. All of the atomic positions located from electron density maps and anisotropic temperature factors for each ion were refined by a three-dimensional full-matrix least-squares refinement using the program XFLS, a modification of ORFLS (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1962). A dispersion corrected scattering factor for $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$and the scattering factors for $\mathrm{F}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Be}^{\cdot 2}$ were taken from Cromer \& Waber (1964). The structure factors were weighted as $1 / \sigma^{2}$ and the quantity $\sum w^{\prime}\left(F_{o}-F_{c}\right)^{2}$ was minimized with the summation taken over all the independent reflections. The refinement was based on $F_{0}$, the discrepancy index $R$ is 0.07 for all 279 reflections and is 0.067 for 267 reflections greater than $\sigma$. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight

$$
\left[\Sigma w^{\prime}\left(F_{o}-F_{c}\right)^{2} /\left(n_{o}-n_{v}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is 5.90 where $n_{o}$ is the number of reflections and $n_{v}$ the number of variables. The atomic parameters and anisotropic temperature factors for $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ are listed in Table 1, the interatomic distances and angles with their standard deviations in Table 2, and the observed and calculated structure factors are shown in Table 3.

Table 2. Interatomic distances and bond angles in $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$

|  | $d$ | $\sigma(d)$ | Unbonded contacts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2.96 \AA$ | $0.01 \AA$ | $\mathrm{~F}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | $2.47 \AA$ | $0.02 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 2.96 | 0.01 | $\mathrm{~F}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 2.54 | 0.03 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 2.96 | $\mathrm{~F}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 2.52 | 0.02 |  |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 2.99 | 0.01 | $\mathrm{~F}(2)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 2.55 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 2.99 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 3.17 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 3.17 | 0.02 | Bonds | Angle | $\sigma$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 3.400 | 0.007 | $\mathrm{~F}(1)-\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | $115^{\circ}$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 3.400 | 0.007 | $\mathrm{~F}(1)-\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 109 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 1.50 | 0.03 | $\mathrm{~F}(1)-\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 108 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 1.50 | 0.03 | $\mathrm{~F}(2)-\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 108 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 1.54 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 1.62 | 0.04 |  |  |  |

Table 3. $F_{o}$ and $F_{c}$ for $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$



Fig. 1. A stereoscopic drawing of the structure of $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ tilted $30^{\circ}$ from $y-z$ plane. One unit cell is outlined. The ellipses are representations of thermal ellipsoids.

## Discussion

Fig. 1 is a stereoscopic drawing of the structure, which consists of $\mathrm{BeF}_{4}$ tetrahedra linked through corners into chains parallel to the $a$ axis. The fluoride tetrahedra are only slightly distorted with $\mathrm{F}^{-}-\mathrm{F}^{-}$contacts nearly alike and equal to $2 \cdot 5 \AA$ on the average. The angles between beryllium and fluoride ions are nearly tetrahedral, but the Be-F distances differ considerably, with the distance to the ion which is common to two tetrahedra considerably longer than the distance to the fluoride ion which is unshared. The same observation was made by Hilmer (1962) in the $\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}$ structure, where the distance between the central atom and the $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions shared by two tetrahedra is always greater than to the atoms which are not shared.

The cesium ion is surrounded by four nearest neighbors which form a square configuration around the cation at a distance approximately equal to the sum of the ionic radii. Two additional $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions are located at $3.17 \AA$, and these six fluoride ions can be considered to form a trigonal prism around the central cation. Two more fluoride ions at $3 \cdot 40 \AA$ could be considered as completing an eightfold coordination polyhedron around the cation.

The structure of $\mathrm{CsBeF}_{3}$ is similar to $\mathrm{BaGeO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{RbBeF}_{3}$ in that there are $\mathrm{BeF}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{GeO}_{4}$ tetrahedra linked at corners to form chains parallel to the shortest axis of the unit cell. The alkali cation in each case has eightfold coordination and seems to link the chains together. (If the nearest oxygen neighbor to $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ at $3 \cdot 26 \AA$ in Hilmer's structure is included, the coordination of Ba in $\mathrm{GaBeO}_{3}$ is eightfold.)
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